IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

MAY 0 1 2014

JULIA C. DUGLEY, CLERK

BY:

DEPUTY CLERK

| ERIC ROBERT SMITH, |) | Civil Action No. 7:14-cv-00219 | |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|----|
| Plaintiff, |) | | |
| |) | | |
| v. |) | MEMORANDUM OPINION | |
| |) | | |
| FAUQUIER COUNTY ADULT |) | | |
| DETENTION CENTER, |) | By: Hon. James C. Turk | |
| Defendant. |) | Senior United States District Judg | ţе |

Eric Robert Smith, a Virginia inmate proceeding <u>pro se</u>, filed a Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 naming the Fauquier County Adult Detention Center ("Jail") as the sole defendant. The court must dismiss the Complaint because Plaintiff fails to name a person acting under color of state law as a defendant. See, e.g., West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

Plaintiff names only the Jail as a defendant, which is not amenable to suit via § 1983. See Preval v. Reno, 57 F. Supp. 2d 307, 310 (E.D. Va. 1999) ("[T]he Piedmont Regional Jail is not a "person," and therefore not amenable to suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983."), aff'd in part and rev'd in part, 203 F.3d 821 (4th Cir. 2000), reported in full-text format at 2000 U.S. App. LEXIS 465, at *3, 2000 WL 20591, at *1 ("The court also properly determined that the Piedmont Regional Jail is not a 'person' and is therefore not amenable to suit under § 1983[.]"). Accordingly, Plaintiff presently fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, and the court dismisses the Complaint without prejudice, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1).

ENTER: This May of May, 2014.

Senior United States District Judge